DOI:10.3969/j. issn. 1671-9638. 2017. 01. 004

·论著·

Surgical site infection and related risk factors among patients following spinal surgery

WANG Jian-cong^{1,2}, LU Xiao-yan², Gunnar Aksel Bjune¹, Hanne Merete Eriksen³ (1 Department of Community Medicine and Global Health, Institute of Health and Society, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo 0450, Norway; 2 Department of Healthcare-associated Infection Control, Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Dongguan 523000, China; 3 Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo 0403, Norway)

[Abstract] Objective Surgical site infection (SSI) is associated with morbidity, increased healthcare costs and in some cases poor outcomes in patients. The purpose of this study was to identify the burden of SSI and the risk factors among hospitalized patients undergoing spinal surgery in a Chinese hospital. Methods A prospective cohort study was performed in patients who underwent spinal surgery in a hospital of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) in China from June 26 to November 30 in 2014. SSI was diagnosed according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) criteria of the U. S., and was identified by bedside surveillance and post-discharge follow up. The detailed characteristics of pre-, intra- and post-operative patients were recorded with a standardized data collection form. Results A total of 192 patients with spinal surgery were included in the study, 7(3, 6%) of these patients developed SSI. Contaminated and dirty/infected wound, surgical drainage, and blood transfusion were associated with increased Odds of SSI by bivariate analysis. Intravenous antimicrobial prophylaxis (AMP) was given in 120 of 192 (62, 5%) spinal surgery. The average duration of AMP administered was 2, 2 days (range, 1 – 9), 139 (72, 4%) of 192 patients were prescribed TCM after spinal surgery. Conclusion The incidence of SSI among patients after spinal surgery in a hospital of TCM in China was identified. This study is served as a reference for studying SSI in spinal surgery in future, and also provides the valuable information to formulate SSI prevention programs.

[Key words] surgical site infection; spinal surgery; healthcare-associated infection; risk factor; traditional Chinese medicine

[Chin J Infect Control, 2017, 16(1):16 - 22]

脊柱手术后患者外科手术部位感染及相关危险因素

王建聪^{1,2},卢晓燕²,Gunnar Aksel Bjune¹,Hanne Merete Eriksen³

(1 挪威奥斯陆大学医学院健康与社会研究所社区医学与全球健康系,挪威 奥斯陆 0450;2 广州中医药大学附属东莞市中医院,广东 东莞 523000;3 挪威公共卫生研究所传染病流行病学系,挪威 奥斯陆 0403)

[摘 要] 目的 外科手术部位感染(SSI)是脊柱手术后常见的并发症之一,与感染发病率增高,治疗时间延长,医疗费用增加,患者预后效果不良有着密不可分的关系。本研究的目的是探索某教学医院患者进行脊柱手术后发生 SSI 的现状与其相关危险因素。方法 采用前瞻性队列研究方法,对 2014 年 6 月 26 日 - 11 月 30 日该院所有脊柱手术患者进行目标性监测,以美国疾病控制与预防中心(CDC)颁布的指南作为 SSI 的诊断依据,通过床旁调查与出院后的检查发现 SSI 病例,使用标准化的数据收集脊柱手术患者术前,术中及术后资料。结果 2014 年6

[收稿日期] 2016-08-30

[作者简介] 王建聪(1983-),男(汉族),广东省东莞市人,在读博士研究生,主要从事统计与流行病学及医院感染控制研究。

[通信作者] 王建聪 E-mail:jiancong.wang@outlook.com

月 26 日—11 月 30 日共监测 192 例脊柱手术患者,其中 7 例(3.6%)发生了 SSI。通过双变量分析的方法发现切口类型(污染/感染切口)、外科引流、输血与增加 SSI 风险的密切相关。在 192 例脊柱手术患者中,120 例(62.5%)给予静脉使用预防性抗菌药物,使用周期为 2.2 (1~9)d,139 例(72.4%)使用中成药。结论 该研究有助于了解该教学医院脊柱手术后患者 SSI 发病率,亦为今后进行脊柱手术后患者发生 SSI 的研究提供有价值的信息。

[关 键 词] 外科手术部位感染;脊柱手术;医院感染;危险因素;中成药

[中图分类号] R181.3⁺2 [文献标识码] A [文章编号] 1671-9638(2017)01-0016-07

Introduction

Surgical site infection (SSI) is associated with morbidity, increased healthcare costs and in some cases patients' poor outcome [1]. SSI is reported to be the third most common healthcare-associated infection(HAI) in Europe, U.S., and China [2-5].

The incidence of SSI among patients who underwent spinal surgery in different studies and different areas of the world ranged from 1. 3% to 13.7% [6-9], in China ranged from 1. 8% to 7.7%[10-12]. According to the *Guideline of Hospital Management and Assessment* published by National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China (NHFPC) [13], the goal is that the overall incidence of SSI in clean surgery should be $\leq 1.5\%$.

The following factors are shown to increase the risk of developing SSI after spinal surgery: American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score \$\geq 3\$, inappropriate timing of AMP, duration of surgical procedure \$\geq 3\$ hours, wound classified as contaminated or dirty \ infected, and longer length of stay in hospital \$\frac{12.14-16}{2}\$. However, a systematic review indicated that there is a paucity of solid evidence of robust risk factors associated with spinal surgery \$\frac{14}{2}\$.

In China, public reports of SSI, obtained via ongoing national surveillance activities, few have been reported over the past decades^[17]. Therefore, the main purpose of this study was to identify the burden of SSI and its associated risk factors among patients undergoing spinal surgery in a Chinese hospital between June 26 and November 30, 2014. The use of AMP and TCM were also analyzed.

Materials and methods

This was a prospective cohort study conducted by the Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The hospital was established in 1965, with the integrated function of medical treatment, teaching and research, it has 955 hospital-beds.

The study included all hospitalized patients who underwent spinal surgery between June 26 and November 30, 2014. Surgical sites were observed within 30 days after surgery for surveying the development of SSI. Other HAIs were not recorded.

All variables which were already in the hospital surveillance system were included in this study, such as age, gender, ASA score, wound classification, duration of surgical procedures, categories of AMP (such as first and second generation cephalosporins), duration of AMP administration, as well as risk factors referred by the CDC and identified by studied articles, such as diabetes mellitus, orthopedic instrumentation, intra-operative blood loss, surgical drainage, and blood transfusion^[10,18-22]. The use of TCM (such as *Panax notoginseng saponins*, *Salvia miltiorrhiza*, and *Lumbricus rubellus*) as an alternative variable was also involved in the study.

All SSIs were defined according to the CDC criteria, and were classified as superficial incision, deep incision or organ/space SSIs [23]. The investigators performed bed-side observation and inspected patients' wound three days a week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday), and determined if the patient was prescribed antimicrobial agents, had positive microbiological result, with a temperature over 37°C, and with one of the following comorbidities; diabetes mellitus or malnutrition.

SSIs among patients were monitored for 30 days after surgery, post-discharged patients were followed up by phone-interview using a pre-defined questionnaire. Incisional wounds of all patients attending the outpatient clinic were examined.

Ethical clearance was obtained both from Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics in Norway and Ethics Committee from the Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine in China. Before the phone-interview, oral informed consent was obtained.

The descriptive statistical analysis was conducted, and the data was analyzed with IBM SPSS 22.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), patient's characteristics related to SSI were compared with *Chi-square* test or *Fisher's exact* test for categorical variables. Associations between potential risk factors and SSI were analyzed by calculating crude Odds ratio (OR) with bivariate logistic regression.

Results

All 192 patients who underwent spinal surgery between June 26 and November 30, 2014 were included in the study. There were 130 (67.7 %) females and 62(32.3%) males, the mean age was 61.7 years (range, 20 – 89), and the females were older than males (65.3 years vs 54.3 years). More characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1.

According to International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes, the top three spinal surgery procedures were vertebroplasty (51.0%), spinal decompression (14.6%), and spinal fusion (7.3%).

Among 192 patients, 7 (3.6%) developed SSI, all SSIs were detected among inpatients. 3 (1.6%) were diagnosed with superficial incisional

SSI, 4 (2.1%) were deep incisional SSI, and none of patients were diagnosed with organ/space SSI. 71.9% of 192 patients were conducted a post-discharge follow-up by phone-interview.

Table 1 Characteristics of 192 patients undergoing spinal surgery at Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine between June 26 and November 30, 2014

Characteristic	Spinal surgery(n[%])/ (n[range])	
Male	62 (32.3)	
Female	130 (67.7)	
Mean age in years	61.7 (20 - 89)	
Mean days of pre-operative stay	7.2 (1 - 33)	
Mean total days of hospital stay	18.3 (5 - 77)	
Mean duration of surgery in minutes	124 (20 - 575)	
No. of SSI ^a	7 (3.6)	
No. of superficial SSI	3 (1.6)	
No. of deep SSI	4 (2.1)	
No. of SSI diagnosed in hospital	7 (3.6)	

a SSI: Surgical site infection

Table 2 summarized the analysis of factors linked to SSI of spinal surgery, a higher wound contamination class (OR, 45. 5 [95% CI, 6. 9 -298.8]), surgical drainage (OR, 8.8 [95%CI, 1.0 -74.6]), and blood transfusion (OR, 5.6 [95%CI, 1.2 - 26.5) were associated with increased Odds of SSI. 120 of 192 (62.5%) patients received intravenous AMP, and all of them received AMP within 2 hours before spinal surgery. The average duration of AMP administered was 2.2 days (range, 1-9). The top four prescribed AMP were cefotiam (40.0%), cefathiamidine (24.2%), cefuroxime (15. 8%), and cefamandole (10. 0%), these four prescriptions accounted for 90.0% of the total AMP given. However, 7 of 120 patients who received AMP also developed SSI.

Table 2 Factors associated with surgical site infection among 192 patients undergoing spinal surgery at Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine between June 26 and November 30 , 2014

Variable		Total No. of patients (SSI case)	Crude Odds ratio(95%CI)a
Age(yrs)	€62	105 (5)	Reference Cat.
	>62	87 (2)	0.5 (0.1 - 2.5)
Gender	Female	130 (5)	Reference Cat.
	Male	62 (2)	0.8 (0.2 - 4.4)
Body mass index	€25	119 (6)	Reference Cat.
	>25	15 (1)	1.3 (0.2 - 12.0)
	Missing	58 (0)	_
Hypertension	Yes	58 (0)	_
	No	134 (7)	Reference Cat.
Diabetes mellitus	Yes	18 (0)	_
	No	174 (7)	Reference Cat.
Rheumatoid arthritis	Yes	7 (1)	5.0 (0.5 - 48.0)
	No	185 (6)	Reference Cat.
Bone oncology	Yes	7 (0)	_
	No	185 (7)	Reference Cat.
Pre-operative stay(d)	€7	140 (5)	Reference Cat.
	>7	52 (2)	1.1 (0.2 – 5.7)
Orthopedic implant	Yes	60 (4)	3.1 (0.7 - 14.2)
	No	132 (3)	Reference Cat.
ASA score	1 + 2	165 (5)	Reference Cat.
	3	27 (2)	2.6 (0.5 - 13.9)
Wound classification	Class 1 + 2	186 (4)	Reference Cat.
	Class 3 + 4	6 (3)	45. 5 (6. 9 - 298. 8)
Skin preparation	Yes	167 (6)	Reference Cat.
	No	25 (1)	1.1 (0.1 - 9.7)
Duration of operation(h)	<3	146 (5)	Reference Cat.
	≥3	46 (2)	1.3 (0.2 - 6.8)
No. of surgeons participating the orthopedic surgery	3~5	122 (2)	Reference Cat.
	6~9	70 (5)	4.6 (0.9 - 24.5)
Intraoperative blood loss(mL)	€500	162 (4)	Reference Cat.
	>500	30 (3)	4.4 (0.9 - 20.7)
Surgical drainage	Yes	81 (6)	8.8 (1.0 - 74.6)
	No	111 (1)	Reference Cat.
Blood transfusion	Yes	25 (3)	5.6 (1.2 – 26.5)
	No	167 (4)	Reference Cat.
WBC ^b before surgery($\times 10^9/L$)	4~11	158 (5)	Reference Cat.
	>11	34 (2)	1.9 (0.4 - 10.3)
WBC after surgery($\times 10^9/L$)	4~11	106 (3)	Reference Cat.
	>11	49 (3)	2. 2 (0. 4 - 11. 5)
	Missing	37 (1)	_
AMP ^c administration	Yes	120 (7)	Reference Cat.
	No	72 (0)	_
Duration of AMP administration(d)	No-AMP	72 (0)	_
	≤1	48 (3)	Reference Cat.
	>1	72 (4)	0.9 (0.2 - 4.1)
TCM ^d administration	Yes	139 (4)	Reference Cat.
	No	53 (3)	2. 0 (0. 4 - 9. 4)

a CI: Confidence interval

b WBC: White blood cell

c AMP: Antimicrobial prophylaxis

d TCM: Traditional Chinese Medicine

139 (72.4%) of 192 patients were prescribed TCM after spinal surgery, the top three constituents of TCM in this study were *Panax notoginseng saponins* (59.7%), *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (21.6%), and *Lumbricus rubellus* (6.5%), and 4 of 7 patients who developed SSI received TCM.

Discussion

The incidence of SSI among patients after spinal surgery in this study was 3.6%. Bivariate analysis showed that contaminated and dirty/infected wound, surgical drainage, and intra-operative blood transfusion were associated with increased Odds of SSI following spinal surgery. We estimate that the incidence of SSI identified by this study might be underestimated due to no SSI cases being detected after patients were discharged from hospital. Other study showed that the proportion of SSI cases detected varies between 21% and 94% [24].

A possible explanation for no SSI cases being detected after patients were discharged from hospital was that some patients lived far away from the Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, they might have attended to other hospitals for check-up or readmission, there was no system that enabled us to identify SSI among patients in other hospitals.

In our surveillance, 71.9% of cases conducted the post-discharge phone-interview. The mean age of patients non-responding was older than those being interviewed by phone (67. 2 years vs 59. 6 years). It is known that older people have a higher risk of SSI [14]. It might be that there were SSIs among those not being interviewed.

The risk factors identified in this study were also reported by previous studies ^[2,14-16,25,26]. These factors have been identified to be associated with SSI after spinal surgery, such as higher ASA scores, duration of surgical procedure ≥ 3 hours, and longer duration of AMP administered. However, we could not find out the relationship between these factors and SSI of spinal surgery in this study, a possible explanation is that the pres-

ent study was underpowered and therefore unable to identify association between SSI and some variables. Multiple regression analysis in this study was not recommended due to small samples^[27].

In the present study, we identified that only 62.5% of the patients included in this study were given AMP. AMP is recommended for spinal surgery with and without surgical implantation instruments^[28]. However, according to Chinese national guidelines for clinical use of antimicrobial agents before 2016^[29,30], AMP is not commonly recommended in general spinal surgery, so there is no specific recommendation on AMP in relation to spinal surgery in China until 2016^[30].

We identified that first or second generation cephalosporins were administered for routine surgical prophylaxis. This finding was consistent with recommendations^[29,31] referred by NHFPC and identified by studied articles^[32]. The mean duration of AMP administration was 2, 2 days, however Chinese and international guidelines recommend the duration of AMP administration should be less than 24 hours after the surgery $^{\! \lceil 28-29,32\rceil}.$ We described the use of TCM, however we can't confirm its effect on preventing SSI, despite supports in the literatures have showed that TCM such as "Panax notoginseng saponins" prescribed in this study could induce the biological effect on inhibiting pathogens [33,34,35]. Nevertheless, no research evidence have indicated that patients being treated with TCM were less likely to develop SSI.

Short-term surveillance and insufficient numbers of cases were the limitation of this study. This might be an explanation for this study not being able to identify association between SSI and some variables. Significantly, it provided some information about the burden of SSI and its risk factors in a Chinese hospital by using standardized surveillance method. More studies with appropriate sample size are needed to identify risk factors associated with SSI among patients undergoing spinal surgery in China.

This study has identified the incidence of SSI (3.6%) after spinal surgery in an orthopedic ward

of Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine. Contaminated and dirty/infected wound, surgical drainage, and blood transfusion were identified as the risk factors. This research is served as a reference for SSI study in future and also provides valuable information to formulate SSI prevention programs.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to QI Ke-xin, LI Wei-juan, GUO Feng-mei from Department of Healthcare-associated Infection Control and Dr. HUANG Xiong-fei, Dr. YE Yong-sheng from Department of Spinal Orthopedic Surgery in Dongguan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, who worked for this project. The authors also thank Professor Magne Thoresen and Dr. Ibrahimu Mdala from University of Oslo to support on quantitative and statistical analysis on this article.

References

- [1] Ogihara S, Yamazaki T, Maruyama T, et al. Prospective multicenter surveillance and risk factor analysis of deep surgical site infection after posterior thoracic and/or lumbar spinal surgery in adults[J]. J Orthop Sci, 2015, 20(1): 71-77.
- [2] Xing D, Ma JX, Ma XL, et al. A methodological, systematic review of evidence-based independent risk factors for surgical site infections after spinal surgery[J]. Eur Spine J, 2013, 22 (3): 605-615.
- [3] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance of surgical site infections in Europe, 2008 2009, ECDC Surveillance Report [EB/OL]. (2012 02) [2016 08]. http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications /120215_SUR_SSI_ 2008 2009.pdf.
- [4] Zarb P, Coignard B, Griskeviciene J, et al. The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) pilot point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use[J]. Euro Surveill, 2012,17(46), pii; 20316.
- [5] Wu A, Ren N, Wen X, et al. One-day prevalence survey of nosocomial infection in 159 hospitals[J]. Chin J Infect Control, 2005(1):12 17.
- [6] Viqueira AQ, Caravaca GR, Quesada Rubio JA, et al. Surgical site infection rates and risk factors in orthopedic pediatric

- patients in Madrid, Spain[J]. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2014, 33 (7): 693-696.
- [7] Caputo AM, Dobbertien RP, Ferranti JM, et al. Risk factors for infection after orthopaedic spine surgery at a high-volume institution[J]. J Surg Orthop Adv, 2013, 22(4): 295 298.
- [8] Kakimaru H, Kono M, Matsusaki M, et al. Postoperative antimicrobial prophylaxis following spinal decompression surgery: is it necessary? [J]. J Orthop Sci, 2010, 15(3): 305-309.
- [9] Omeis IA, Dhir M, Sciubba DM, et al. Postoperative surgical site infections in patients undergoing spinal tumor surgery; incidence and risk factors[J]. Spine, 2011, 36(17); 1410 1419.
- [10] Nie H, Jiang D, Ou Y, et al. Procalcitonin as an early predictor of postoperative infectious complications in patients with acute traumatic spinal cord injury[J]. Spinal Cord, 2011, 49 (6): 715-720.
- [11] Xu H, Ni L, Ye Q, et al. Related factors for postoperative incisional infections in patients undergoing spinal surgery [J]. Chin J Nosocomiol, 2015, 25(1): 191-193.
- [12] Lin Q, Zhong Z, Chen J. Risk factors for surgical site infections after spine surgery[J]. J Practical Med, 2014, 30(10): 1587-1590.
- [13] National Health and Family Planning Commission of the People's Republic of China. Hospital Management Assessment Guideline (Version 2008), Policy of Medical Quality Evaluation Management[EB/OL]. (2008 05)[2016 08]. http://www.moh.gov.cn/mohyzs/s3585/200806/36242.shtml.
- [14] Pull ter Gunne AF, Hosman AJ, Cohen DB, et al. A methodological systematic review on surgical site infections following spinal surgery: part 1: risk factors[J]. Spine, 2012, 37(24): 2017 2033.
- [15] Radcliff KE, Rasouli MR, Neusner A, et al. Preoperative delay of more than 1 hour increases the risk of surgical site infection[J]. Spine, 2013, 38(15): 1318-1323.
- [16] Pull ter Gunne AF, Cohen DB. Incidence, prevalence, and analysis of risk factors for surgical site infection following adult spinal surgery[J]. Spine, 2009, 34(13): 1422-1428.
- [17] Fan Y, Wei Z, Wang W, et al. The incidence and distribution of surgical site infection in mainland China; a meta-analysis of 84 prospective observational studies[J]. Sci Rep, 2014, 4: 6783.
- [18] Lonjon G, Dauzac C, Fourniols E, et al. Early surgical site infections in adult spinal trauma: a prospective, multicentre study of infection rates and risk factors[J]. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res, 2012, 98(7): 788 794.
- [19] Namba RS, Inacio MC, Paxton EW. Risk factors associated with surgical site infection in 30,491 primary total hip replacements[J]. J Bone Joint Surg Br, 2012, 94(10): 1330 1338.
- [20] Cies JJ, Chan S, Hossain J, et al. Influence of body mass index and antibiotic dose on the risk of surgical site infections in pediatric clean orthopedic surgery[J]. Surg Infect, 2012, 13 (6): 371-376.

- [21] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Surveillance of surgical site infections in European hospitals HAIS-SI protocol, 2012 ECDC Technical Document [EB/OL]. (2012 02) [2016 08]. http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/ Publications/ 120215_TED_SSI_protocol, pdf.
- [22] Dubory A, Giorgi H, Walter A, et al. Surgical-site infection in spinal injury: incidence and risk factors in a prospective cohort of 518 patients[J]. Eur Spine J, 2015, 24(3): 543 554.
- [23] Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee[J]. Am J Infect Control, 1999, 27(2): 97-132.
- [24] Staszewicz W, Eisenring MC, Bettschart V, et al. Thirteen years of surgical site infection surveillance in Swiss hospitals [J]. J Hosp Infect, 2014, 88(1): 40-47.
- [25] Schimmel JJ, Horsting PP, de Kleuver M, et al. Risk factors for deep surgical site infections after spinal fusion [J]. Eur Spine J, 2010, 19(10): 1711 1719.
- [26] Rao SB, Vasquez G, Harrop J, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infections following spinal fusion procedures: a case-control study[J]. Clin Infect Dis, 2011, 53(7): 686-692.
- [27] Pallant J. The SPSS survival guide[M]. 5th ed. Buckingham, United Kingdom: McGraw-Hill Education, 2013.
- [28] Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery[J]. Am J Health Syst Pharm, 2013, 70(3): 195 283.
- [29] National Health and Family Planning Commission of the Peo-

- ple's Republic of China. Principle guideline for antimicrobials clinical use, policy of health management [EB/OL]. (2004 08)[2016 08]. http://www.moh.gov.cn/mohyzs/s3573/200804/18544. shtml.
- [30] Sun J. Systematic review of interventions on antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery in Chinese hospitals during 2000 2012[J]. J Evid Based Med, 2013, 6(3): 126-135.
- [31] National Health and Family Planning Commission of Guangdong Province. Clinical use of antibiotics hierarchical management directory in Guangdong Province, 2012 Policy documents [EB/OL]. (2012 07) [2016 08]. http://www.gdwst.gov.cn/a/zcwj/ 201207279918, html.
- [32] Hansen E, Belden K, Silibovsky R, et al. Perioperative anti-biotics[J]. J Arthroplasty, 2014, 29(2 Suppl): 29 48.
- [33] Wang R, Lechtenberg M, Sendker J, et al. Wound-healing plants from TCM: in vitro investigations on selected TCM plants and their influence on human dermal fibroblasts and keratinocytes[J]. Fitoterapia, 2013, 84: 308 317.
- [34] Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission. Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China[M]. Beijing, China: Chemical Industry Press, 2005.
- [35] Tan BK, Vanitha J. Immunomodulatory and antimicrobial effects of some traditional Chinese medicinal herbs: a review [J]. Curr Med Chem, 2004, 11(11): 1423-1430.

(本文编辑:李春辉)